

“Walter Veith & Martin Smith -Weeping for Tammuz, Abominations In The Dark – Back to Normal? -WUP 104” was posted on YouTube by Amazing Discoveries Africa on 2/24/22. Amazing Discoveries Africa reviews posts before the public is allowed to see them so I don’t know if the following post will ever be seen by anyone except them. Walter and Martin are generally very good but got off course on this one.

NOTE: if you’re looking for the video, Amazing Discoveries Africa is now Clash of Minds.

1. You mention, regarding the Seventh-Day Adventist *Sabbath School Bible Study Guide* on the book of Hebrews for the first quarter of 2022, that all the “Bible” quotations were from approved Roman Catholic bibles. I reviewed the entire Teachers edition of that quarterly and this is what I found in counting the number of times each version was used. I did not count everything twice so my count could be off a little.

King James Version (KJV): 1
Another version; probably the Tanakh: 1
Author’s translation (yeah really): 2
New American Standard Bible (NASB): 9
New International Version (NIV): 12
New King James Version (NKJV): 59
English Standard Version (ESV): 84
New Revised Standard Version (NRSV): 187

The statement was made that all bible quotations were made from approved Roman Catholic bibles. The KJV has obviously not been approved but it would be easy to miss that one quotation that could only have come from it. Likewise, the author’s translation couldn’t have been approved either. Both the ESV and the NRSV are approved Roman Catholic bibles but to the best of my knowledge none of the others are. I know there is an NKJV edition containing the Apocrypha that’s approved by one or more of the Orthodox Catholic churches but I don’t think by the Roman Catholic Church. If you have information to the contrary I would appreciate it if you would enlighten me.

2. There were several things said during the presentation that you should listen to again and explain in more detail in an upcoming WUP presentation.

Martin Smith said at 1:05:20: “And it’s also important to bring in here, that even though the King James is not infallible that there’s no errors in it, the received text is where it comes from; and that is where the basis is.” Walter agreed saying, “So whether there’s a word wrong here or a word wrong there is irrelevant.”

At 1:20:13 Walter says regarding Seventh-day Adventist scholars, “So when it comes to their judgement, they’re welcome to their judgement, they are welcome to their scholarly opinions, but once you set yourself up and assume that you have more knowledge than the Spirit of Prophecy, then I have the right to choose whether I want to follow them or whether I want to follow the Bible and the Spirit

of Prophecy. My choice is, I would rather follow the Bible and Spirit of Prophecy and therefore, be ostracized.”

There are two things notice. Both of you believe that the “King James” has “errors” and “is not infallible.” Walter wishes to follow the Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy. You both seem to think “the received text” which was written in Latin, Waldensian, Greek, Hebrew and some Chaldee is the way to go. How many people in the world are competent to read all of those? Did Ellen G. White who is the author of the Spirit of Prophecy understand any of those languages? Are you both competent enough to do that? In case you’re wondering, the answer is no.

See how the following fit with your theory that no Bible is infallible.

“Man is fallible, but God’s Word is infallible.” *Selected Messages Book One* page 416.

“He who has a knowledge of God and His word through personal experience has a settled faith in the divinity of the Holy Scriptures. He has proved that God’s word is truth, and he knows that truth can never contradict itself.” *The Ministry of Healing* page 462.

“The Word of God is the infallible guide.” *Spalding & Magan’s Unpublished Manuscript Testimonies of Ellen G. White* page 36 in my copy and age 85 in the older edition.

“The Holy Scriptures are to be accepted as an authoritative, infallible revelation of His will. They are the standard of character, the revealer of doctrines, and the test of experience.” *The Great Controversy* page vii.

I am pretty surprised at both of you. I am not a paid purveyor of Bible truth and have never been employed by the Seventh-day Adventist Church and yet I have been able, thus far, to defend the KJV as being infallible against many who, like you, believe there is no infallible English Bible on the face of the earth at this time with no error. Keep in mind that Sister White had never finished grade school and never learned Greek, Hebrew, Latin, Chaldee or Waldensian and yet she called the English Bible “infallible.”

You both need to get off your high horses and do a bit of explaining. That’s something you would like others to do. Why don’t you start?

Much to my surprise, my post wasn’t deleted and someone answered me. I attempted to post a response but my response wasn’t accepted by the Amazing Discoveries Africa YouTube channel. They accepted a rebuttal to my post but didn’t permit me to reply.

Claiming to believe what “the received text” says but not necessarily what the current infallible Protestant Bible says is a big fraud. There aren’t many around these days that can fluently speak,

read or understand Hebrew, Greek, Chaldee, Latin and the language of the Waldenses. Those deficient in those skills include Walter and Martin. When you claim only the received text is entirely reliable, you are left with a big fat nothing.

If it hadn't been for the fact Amazing Discoveries Africa saw fit to censor me I probably would never have posted this review.



James

[17 hours ago](#)

Dear Martin Lohne, although you are passionate about what you state, and your defence of the KJV is admirable, you run the risk of also sitting on a high horse that it would be wise to get off from.

Now before running the risk of being categorised, let me clearly state that I basically only read the KJV and absolutely believe the received text to be the infallible Word of God. The problem with the passionate response you have is that you quote the statements of Ellen White about the infallibility of the Word of God, but she never mentioned the KJV. And what are you going to say to someone that asks you why she then also used other translations? Even if it was only slightly. How are you going to prove that she was talking about the KJV? Walter and Martin stated that the received text contain the infallible Word of God, and that is why the Bibles based off it are the most reliable.

They never stated that you have to be able to read any of the original languages, in fact in many of the previous episodes they showed the quotes of Ellen White that clearly state that the studying of Greek etc. is actually irrelevant.

They also said there are "words" that may be incorrectly translated in the KJV, which there are, but they did not state that there are erroneous doctrines in there, or that these words could give through an erroneous idea.

They said that the modern versions are approved by the Catholic church, and that is because of them being translated off Catholic approved manuscripts. Therefore even the ones you quoted above have to be included in the Catholic approved ones. I might be wrong, but I think the reason that you stated these ones are because Ellen White made use of them, and thereby you want to justify the usage, but I could be wrong.

In any case, the reason I write this reply is not to condemn you, but to ask that you also not condemn these two. Both of them and you are actually trying to state the same thing. Your passion for the KJV is admirable, but the tone and wording you used in demanding answers and explanations from Martin and Walter, might not be the best way to go about it.



Martin Lohne

[1 second ago](#)

[@James](#) Rest assured James, I can defend my position.

"The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever." Psalms 12:6-7.

God promised to "keep" and "preserve" his "pure words" "for ever." That is my "high horse" position.

As the Lord's Messenger, Ellen White had the privilege of using anything she liked in her inspired writings. And no, to the best of my knowledge she never mentioned the KJV specifically but the great majority of Scripture quotations were from that source and during the time she lived it was the most accepted Protestant English Bible in the United States of America until the Revised Version (RV) was published. The RV wasn't well accepted and she never used it in her preaching according to her son Willie.

You are right, neither Walter nor Martin ever said you had to be able to read any of the original languages but that is exactly what you would have to do in order to read the "received text." You don't have and you have never read the received text and neither have they. The reason we don't have to read the original languages is because we have the "pure words" of God in English. Walter and Martin have set themselves up to the high position of telling people what the received text says and they neither have nor could they read the received text if they had it.

And no, it wasn't said that words "may" be incorrectly translated, it was said by Martin that the King James isn't infallible which means it WAS incorrectly translated in places and Walter said an occasional "wrong word" was "irrelevant." In many places in the new versions it's just a small word that changes the truth into a lie.

Most of the modern bible versions, to the best of my knowledge, have not received the official approval of the Roman Catholic Church. That means they never received the imprimatur, imprimi potest or the nihil obstat. The only other way they could be approved is if they were officially published by a dedicated Roman Catholic press.

In general I like both Martin and Walter but it's offensive to me when Seventh-day Adventist ministers who talk about what "the Bible says" claim that it has mistakes. If "the Bible" has mistakes that means it can't always be trusted. They will yap about what the Greek or Hebrew says when they should be defending God's Word and learning what it says in English.

Regarding the real Bible and Spirit of Prophecy, I learned many years ago to NEVER say I don't believe but rather I don't understand.

[SatanIsDead.com](#)

[InfallibleBible.com](#)

[AdventistsToday.com](#)

[SabbathSchoolGuide.com](#)